GUIDELINES FOR *FNH 497 (2-6)*

*UNDERGRADUATE DIRECTED STUDIES IN FOOD NUTRITION AND HEALTH*

This course provides a means for individual students to undertake customized projects designed to provide an opportunity for students to develop and strengthen their research skills and to accommodate special research interests that cannot be met through other FNH courses. With prior approval, credit for FNH 497 may be accepted in lieu of equivalent credit for FNH 425 or FNH 499[[1]](#footnote-1) in majors where this course is required. Admission to FNH 499 is arranged through the **undergraduate program advisor** for the relevant major, and must be recommended by the faculty member who will be supervising the work that the student is to undertake. Students interested in FNH 499 should contact the undergraduate advisor for their major and the individual faculty member(s) with whom they are interested in conducting a project well in advance of the beginning of the academic term; for example, students should approach potential supervisors in summer regarding projects to start in September. Opportunities for conducting projects are limited.

The work plan is arranged and agreed to by the student and the faculty member and should be set out in writing with a copy to the undergraduate advisor, as described in the “ **FNH 497 Proposal**”. The work will consist of a definable project requiring literature search, laboratory or field research, and a written report. A proposal is due two weeks after the start of the term, a thorough literature review and detailed experimental plan before lab work begins, and a brief progress report (1-2 pages) is expected from the student when approximately half of the experimental work has been completed. Further details on the deadlines and requirements of the course are given below.

Students will be expected to spend approximately 40 hours of work per credit, or approximately 240 hours to this course. This includes time spent on literature search, design of experiments, experimental work and the write-up. A regular schedule of consultations should be pre-arranged between the supervisor and the student in order to monitor and discuss progress and time spent by the student on the project. The meeting time should form a regular entry on the timetables of both the student and the supervisor.

If the project is to be conducted totally, or in part, at a location other than UBC, the supervising faculty member will make appropriate arrangements for regular monitoring of progress and time. This may entail appointment of an on-site co-supervisor.

If the project is associated with a summer or part-time, paid or volunteer position held by the student, care must be taken to ensure that any hours of work on the directed studies project are over and above those required of the related position. The supervisor must be satisfied that this requirement has been met. Normally, a minimum of 50% of the work required for the course must be conducted during the session in which the student is enrolled in the course. Exception to this requirement may be requested in advance where its application would result in a course overload, unnecessary delay in time to graduation, or the imposition of extra fees.

It is expected that the thesis will be completed within the academic year when it is initiated. The maximum period allowed for completion is 12 months, according to the University of British Columbia calendar, as noted below.

*If a student in a baccalaureate program who receives a "T" standing in a graduating essay or other course approved by the faculty completes the course within 12 months of the end of the term in which the student first registered for the course the "T" standing will be replaced by the grade assigned. If the course is not completed within 12 months the "T" standing will be replaced by a grade of zero (or "F" standing in a Pass/Fail course) (From* [*http://www.calendar.ubc.ca/vancouver/index.cfm?tree=3,42,96,0*](http://www.calendar.ubc.ca/vancouver/index.cfm?tree=3,42,96,0)*).*

### Deadlines for the course

The following deadlines are suggested for students enrolled in FNH 497. The student and their supervisor must discuss and come to an agreement on deadlines at the start of the project.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Milestones** | **May – Aug Schedule** | **Sept – April Schedule** |
| Submission of project title and name of supervisor to undergraduate advisor | May 1 | September 15 |
| Proposal to supervisor | May 15 | October 1 |
| Literature search/ Experimental Plan Draft | May 30 | October 31 |
| Literature search/ Experimental Plan Final | June 1 | November 15 |
| Progress report to supervisor | June 30 | January 15 |
| Experimental/research work completed | August 1 | February 15 |
| Submission of draft to supervisor | August 14 | March 15 |
| Submission of final copy to supervisor | August 21 | April 7 |
| Oral presentation of work ( if appropriate) | Arranged with supervisor | |

### Responsibilities of the supervisor

* Selection of appropriate research project in conjunction with the student
* Provision of suitable laboratory supplies and equipment to perform the work
* Providing guidance on experimental design, data analysis, and presentation of results
* Scheduling of regular meetings with the student (e.g. weekly or biweekly)
* Giving feedback on the draft in a timely manner
* Arranging for a second evaluator and evaluating the student lab work and write-up
* Note: the role of the supervisor in the written report should be restricted to:
  1. provide general recommendations regarding structure, development, and progression of ideas;
  2. provide advice on the general format of the report, according to the guidelines, and the use of correct grammar, spelling, and sentence structure.
  3. The involvement of the supervisor normally should be limited to the first draft of the report.

### Responsibilities of the student

* Make arrangements well in advance to work under the guidance of a faculty member as a supervisor.
* *Strict* adherence to deadlines and guidelines for the course, as stated in this document and arranged with the supervisor
* Submit copies of the project proposal to the project supervisor and the Undergraduate Advisor within two weeks after the start of the term via the course Connect website. The proposal (~2 pages) will consist of the following information:
  + The aim or hypothesis of the project (the idea that are being testing).
  + The significance of the project (why is it interesting or important), supported by relevant background information and literature
  + The experimental approach that will use to test the project (the general procedures to be used).
  + The potential problems or difficulties that might be encountered in the project.
  + The time line for the work (the date when specific steps or milestones will be completed, including the date of submission of the written report).

The proposal must be approved by the undergraduate advisor within the agreed upon date or the student will be removed from the course.

* Allocate appropriate time to this course over the two terms.
* Submit a brief (one or two pages) progress report to the project supervisor and the Undergraduate Advisor. This report should state:
  + Major accomplishments in the work to that time.
  + Major problems in the project.
  + Significant changes in the aim or approach for the project.
  + Remaining experiments that is expect to be completed before writing up the final project report.
* Submission of two bound copies of the final report for evaluation.

##### Course Evaluation

For purposes of determining a grade for the written report, evaluation will be conducted by the supervisor and at least one other faculty member selected from the program. Where feasible and necessary, a common standing review committee will be struck. Evaluation of the course will be based on the organization and conduct of the project work and the written report.

One suggestion for an evaluation scheme is given below. This scheme may be modified by the supervisor, and should be distributed to the student at the beginning of the project.

## Evaluation Scheme Example

# Lab Work *(45% weighting of final mark)*

Initiative (20%)

Technique (20%)

Comprehension (20%)

Organization, work habits, attention to safety/proper protocols (20%)

Dedication and Perseverance (20%)

# Oral Presentation (*10% weighting of final mark*)

# Final Report *(45% weighting of final mark)*

Abstract (5%)

A concise summary of the report

No abbreviations should be used

Introduction, Statement of Objectives (5%)

Introduction of the research topic

Clear outline of the hypothesis, rationale, objective and specific aims of the project

Literature Review (15%)

Showing depth and scope of the pertinent literature

Materials and Methods (15%)

Concise and explicit description of the experimental methods used

Detailed description of newly developed methods

Citation of appropriate references for methods not developed by the student themselves

Source of materials and chemicals used

Methods used for data analysis, as appropriate

Results (10%)

Presentation of figures, tables, appendices where applicable, in a manner that is commonly used in research publications for the area.

Inclusion of statistical significance of data

Presentation of data solely generated by the student during the project

Discussion (20%)

Demonstrating critical analysis of results and comprehension of subject area

Conclusions (5%)

References (5%)

Citation of all literature referred to in the report

Consistent and appropriate format used

Clarity, grammar (sentence structure, spelling), organization (20%)

**Report Write-up Guidelines**

The following items are suggestions as to the write-up of theses. For specific items, the Research Supervisor should be consulted. Also available for consultation, are copies of theses of previous classes.

Each report should contain, in the order given, the following sections:

**Title page:** This page contains the title, author’s name, a statement as follows, and the date (see example attached).

**Abstract:** This is a condensation of the contents of the report, usually 200 words or less, giving significant information in the report. It serves as a quick reference to determine if the report contains information a person is looking for.

**Table of Contents:** This should list all major and subheadings accompanied by the page on which they are found (see example attached).

**List of Tables:** The table number, caption and page on which it is found are listed.

**List of Figures:** The figure number, legend and page on which it is found are listed.

**Acknowledgements:** This section expresses thanks and appreciation to individuals, institutions or organizations that were particularly helpful in the carrying out of the work. This section is optional.

**Introduction:** The introduction outlines to the reader the report subject, its importance, presents the specific problem of the report and indicates the nature of the investigation carried out.

**Literature Review:** This section generally outlines or discusses findings reported by others in books and journals, relating to and leading to the proposed investigation as related in the report (corrected version submitted for marking in October inserted)

**Materials and Methods:** This section should describe the experimental procedures employed and the equipment and facilities used, in a manner which would allow others to duplicate the work.

**Results and Discussions:** This section can be written as a combination of the two or as separate entities. The section relates the information, experimental data or observations resulting from the study and describes the findings and what they mean are described logically, leading up to a set of conclusions. The format of tables and figures should be as in the Journal of Food Science or Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry.

**Conclusions:** This section reports the conclusions reached on the basis of evidence presented in the discussion. This may often be combined with a concise summation of results reported in the previous section.

**References:** This should be an alphabetical listing of authors of literature cited in the report. The format to be used for citing in the report body and listing at the end should be that of the Journal of Food Science or Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry.

**Appendix:** Appendices are repositories for details that must be recorded because they may be needed, but would slow the reader down unnecessarily if placed in the body of the report. Appendix materials must be referred to in the body of the report. Calculations, detailed analyses and test figures are typical material found in this section.

This report should be legibly typed or printed on good quality bond paper. The two copies to be submitted to the advisor may be good quality photocopies. The copies submitted should be bound in suitable binders such as Duo-tang or Acco-press binders, or coil bound.

(Title page example)

#### TITLE OF THE REPORT

By

**Your name**

Food, Nutrition, and Health Program

Faculty of Land and Food Systems

**The University of British Columbia**

April 20XX

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (Example)**

**Page**

ABSTRACT i

LIST OF FIGURES ii

LIST OF TABLES viii

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ix

INTRODUCTION 1

LITERATURE REVIEW 3

METHODS AND MATERIALS 10

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 15

(Note: results and discussion may be presented as a

single section or may be presented as two separate sections)

CONCLUSION 26

REFERENCES 27

APPENDICES 29

**FNH 497 Grading Rubric for Oral Presentation**

| **Category/*Rating*** | ***Poor (0-3)*** | ***Acceptable (3.5)*** | ***Good (4)*** | ***Excellent (5)*** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **KNOWLEDGE & CONTENT** | |  |  |  |
| **Adequacy of introduction** | Introduction and background information was unfocussed; audience did not know what the objectives of the presentation were. | Audience had an idea of the focus and objectives of the presentation, but some of the background was either missing or irrelevant. | Captured audience attention; presented adequate background; objectives were clear by the end of the introduction. | Captured audience attention; presented relevant background, quickly established a focus, and clearly stated objectives of the presentation. |
| **Explanation of experimental approach and methodology** | Presented procedures used without demonstrating why those methods were chosen or an understanding of the principles. | Presented overview of experimental approach, and described methods to be used. | Presented details of the chosen experimental approach; accurate description of main principles and key steps of methods. | Gave clear rationale and details for the chosen experimental approach; accurate description of main principles and key steps of methods. |
| **Explanation of results** | Data was not presented clearly, and/or incorrect explanations of the results were given. | Presented the data obtained from each of the methods; made a good attempt to explain the results. | Presented the data obtained from each of the methods clearly; explained the meaning of each of these results. | Presented the data obtained from each of the methods clearly; provided meaningful interpretation and inter-connections of results |
| **Clarity & accuracy of discussion; Critical judgment exercised** | Did not show any understanding of the significance and limitations of the research findings | Gave a good effort to explain the significance and limitations of the research findings | Demonstrated good understanding of the significance and limitations of the research findings | Articulated critical judgment and good understanding of the significance and limitations of the research findings |
| **Appropriateness of conclusion and take-home message** | Ended the presentation abruptly; or a conclusion was presented that did not reflect the main points of the presentation. | Summarized main points of the presentation; audience left with a take-home message. | Summarized main points of the presentation; audience left with a clear take-home message; presentation concluded logically. | Summarized main points in an integrated fashion; audience left with a clear take-home message; presentation concluded logically. |
| **Response to questions** | Lacked accurate or relevant answers to most of the questions asked. | Made strong effort to answer questions, and handled most questions knowledgeably, but with some hesitation. | Handled most questions knowledgeably and with confidence. | Handled questions knowledgeably and with confidence; demonstrated greater depth of knowledge than what was presented. |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ORGANIZATION & DELIVERY** | |  |  |  |
| **Flow of information** | Presentation of information is dis-connected; audience found it difficult to understand the main points and to follow the presentation. | Logical organization of information; some gaps or pauses in the transitions between sub-topics of group members. | Smooth and logical organization of information; transitions between sub-topics and group members were mostly effective. | Smooth and logical organization of information; effective bridging between sub-topics and among group members; easy to follow. |
| **Effectiveness of delivery** | Reading extensively from notes or the monitor; no eye contact with audience; low volume &/or speaking in a monotone | Spoke in a clear voice at an acceptable pace; occasionally relying on notes or the monitor; made some eye contact with the audience. | Spoke clearly, with good volume and intonation and at a good pace; established good eye contact with the audience | Spoke clearly and confidently, with good volume and intonation and at a good pace; excellent eye contact with the audience |
| **Enthusiasm, professionalism** | Apathetic presentation of information; distracting gestures, inappropriate demeanor and/or frequent use of slang or colloquialism | Demonstrated interest for the topic. Occasional distracting gestures or inappropriate choice of words. | Demonstrated enthusiasm for the topic; conveyed professionalism in language and demeanor. | Demonstrated a passion for the topic and instilled interest in the audience; conveyed professionalism in language and demeanor. |
| **Use of visual aids** | Most visual aids were too “busy”, &/or had text with too small font size or verbatim to speaker’s presentation. | Visual aids were used to convey information to the audience. Some slides may have been difficult to understand or see clearly. | Visual aids were attractive and effectively used to clearly convey information to the audience. | A variety of visual aids was used to capture the attention of the audience and enhance understanding of the presented information. |
| **Adherence to time limit** | Presentation was longer than 18 minutes or shorter than 12 minutes. | Kept to within three minutes of the prescribed 15 minute time limit | Kept to within two minutes of the prescribed 15 minute time limit. | Kept to within a minute of the prescribed 15 minute time limit! |

**ORGANIZATION & DELIVERY**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **ubc_black_logo** | University of British Columbia  **Faculty of Land and Food Systems**  **Directed Studies**  **FNH 497**  **Registration Form** | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  Date Registered    Initials: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_  FNH Program Coordinator |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Student Name:** |  | **UBC Student #:** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| ***Select a Term*** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Sept-Dec (Winter Session, Term 1) |
|  | Jan-Apr (Winter Session, Term 2) |
|  | Sept – Apr (Winter Session, Terms 1 & 2) |
|  | May – Aug (Summer Session, Terms 1 & 2) |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Start Date  *(yy/mm/dd)* |  | Expected End Date*(yy/mm/dd)* | **Credit Level (circle one)**  **A(2) B(3) E(6)** |

|  |
| --- |
| ***Select all applicable boxes:*** |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| □ ***approved institution Canada*** | □ ***approved institution***  ***international*** | □ ***UBC Farm*** | □ ***Other*** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project site:** |  |
| **Academic supervisor:** | **Site supervisor:**  **(If applicable)** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Project Proposal Summary**  *(Include information on learning objectives, work involved, and assessment criteria. Attach extra sheet if necessary)* |
| Learning objectives: |
|  |
|  |
| Work involved: |
|  |
| Outcomes/Output/Assessment criteria: Mark for this directed studies will be based on: |
| **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  **Student Signature Academic supervisor signature Site supervisor signature**  **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  **Date Date Date** |

1. Students working on industry projects that must remain confidential should enrol in the FNH 497 Directed Study rather than FNH 499 Thesis. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)