

***Special K and Milk of Amnesia:  
A Balanced Breakfast?***

Ketamine plus Propofol (“Ketofol”) for  
Adult Procedural Sedation in the  
Emergency Department

by Ricky Turgeon

# Procedural Sedation

- 4 main emergency department procedures
  1. Orthopedic manipulations
  2. Cardioversion
  3. Incision & drainage (I&D)
  4. Foreign-body removal/insertion (e.g. chest tube)
- Can be distressing  $\pm$  painful
- Procedural sedation is:
  - Provision of sedation  $\pm$  analgesia to enable tolerability of unpleasant procedures
  - without compromising cardiorespiratory function

# Procedural Sedation: Our Options

## **Sedatives**

- Propofol
- Midazolam
- Ketamine
- Etomidate

## **Combinations:**

- Ketamine + propofol (“Ketofol”)

**± Analgesic (controversial)**

# Procedural Sedation: Our Options

## Sedatives

- Propofol
- Midazolam
- Ketamine
- Etomidate

## Combinations:

- **Ketamine + propofol (“Ketofol”)**

± Analgesic (controversial)

**Why consider Ketofol?**

# Ketamine vs Propofol

	Propofol	Ketamine
Sedative quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• GABAergic sedation               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Sedation</li> <li>✓ Amnesia</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dissociative sedation               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Sedation</li> <li>✓ Analgesia</li> <li>✓ Amnesia</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
VITALS	↓ BP, HR ↓ RR/apnea, SpO <sub>2</sub>	↑ BP, ↑ HR
CNS		Recovery agitation/hallucinations
HEENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dose-dependent loss of airway patency</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Horizontal nystagmus</li> <li>• Hypersalivation/excessive secretions</li> <li>• Airway protection preserved</li> </ul>
RESP	↑ ETCO <sub>2</sub> / PaCO <sub>2</sub>	
GI/ABDO/ LIVER/HEME	Not emetogenic (can treat ketamine-induced vomiting)	Recovery emesis
MSK/EXTREM/ DERM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Loss of muscle tone</li> <li>• Pain on injection</li> </ul>	Muscle toned preserved, enhanced

# Ketamine vs Propofol

	Propofol	Ketamine
Sedative quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>GABAergic sedation                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Sedation</li> <li>✓ Amnesia</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Dissociative sedation                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Sedation</li> <li>✓ Analgesia</li> <li>✓ Amnesia</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
VITALS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>↓ BP, HR</li> <li>↓ RR/apnea, SpO<sub>2</sub></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>↑ BP, ↑ HR</li> </ul>
CNS		Recovery agitation/hallucinations
HEENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Dose-dependent loss of airway patency</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Horizontal nystagmus</li> <li>Hypersalivation/excessive secretions</li> <li>Airway protection preserved</li> </ul>
RESP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>↑ ETCO<sub>2</sub>/ PaCO<sub>2</sub></li> </ul>	
GI/ABDO/ LIVER/HEME	Not emetogenic (can treat ketamine-induced vomiting)	Recovery emesis
MSK/EXTREM/ DERM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Loss of muscle tone</li> <li>Pain on injection</li> </ul>	Muscle toned preserved, enhanced

# Our Clinical Question

<b>P</b>	In adult presenting to the emergency department who require sedation for a procedural intervention,
<b>I</b>	does the combination of ketamine + propofol
<b>C</b>	vs <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Propofol</li><li>• Midazolam ± fentanyl</li><li>• Ketamine</li><li>• Or any permutation of the above or other agents</li></ul>
<b>O</b>	Improve or worsen: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mortality, serious adverse events</li><li>• Overall satisfaction with regimen (patient, physician, nurse)</li><li>• Sedation efficacy (onset, duration, need for repeat dosing)</li><li>• Agitation &amp; other acute psychiatric outcomes</li><li>• Hemodynamic stability</li><li>• Ventilation</li><li>• GI distress</li><li>• Muscular rigidity</li></ul>
<b>T</b>	During & immediately following procedure (as applicable)



# Search Strategy

<b>Databases</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• PubMed</li><li>• EMBASE</li><li>• CENTRAL</li><li>• Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews</li><li>• International Pharmaceutical Abstracts</li></ul>
<b>Search Terms</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• (procedur* OR conscious) AND sedation</li><li>• (ketamine AND propofol) OR ketofol</li></ul>
<b>Further considered if</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Adults (at least 50% of included patients)</li><li>• Set in emergency department</li></ul>
<b>Results</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 5 systematic reviews<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• No meta-analysis</li></ul></li><li>• <b>5 RCTs</b></li><li>• 1 prospective case series</li></ul>

# Ketofol Dosing Strategies in RCTs

## 1. Single-syringe 1:1 K+P

– N=3

## 2. Single dose of K, followed by P induction & PRN

– N=1, not discussed further due to significant risk of bias

## 3. Single dose of sub-sedative K, followed by P induction & PRN

– N=1, not discussed further (compared ketamine analgesic properties)

# RCT 1: Phillips 2010

<b>D</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Single-centre, “single-blind” RCT</li></ul>
<b>P</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• n = 28</li><li>• ≥21 y, procedure requiring sedation</li></ul>
<b>I</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. <u>K + P</u> 12.5 mg (each) IV initially, then 5 mg q10-20 sec until sedated</li><li>2. <u>Propofol</u> 0.5-1.5 mg/kg IV initially, then 5 mg q10-20 sec until sedated</li></ol>
<b>O</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Safety:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Hemodynamic: Initial SBP, SBP change from baseline, SBP nadir</li><li>○ Respiratory: Proportion experiencing respiratory depression (SpO2 &lt;90% or airway intervention)</li><li>○ Presence/absence of emergency phenomena</li><li>○ Other negative event</li></ul></li><li>• Sedation<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Length of sedation after lase dose</li><li>○ Total dosage of medication required during procedure</li></ul></li></ul>

# Internal Validity: Phillips 2010

Selection bias	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Sequence generation</li><li>• Allocation concealment</li></ul>	Computer-generated ?
Performance & detection bias	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Blinding of anyone?</li></ul>	?
Attrition bias	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Addressed incomplete outcome data</li></ul>	No LTFU; ITT analysis
Reporting bias	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Free from selective outcome reporting?</li></ul>	?

# Results: Phillips 2010

Outcome	Ketofol wins? (KP vs P)
<b>Safety</b>	
• Initial SBP	139.9 mm Hg overall
• SBP change from baseline	-1.6% vs -12.5%
• SBP nadir	139.5 mm Hg vs 118.3 mm Hg
• Proportion experiencing respiratory depression	No difference
• Presence of emergency phenomena	0% vs 0%
• Other negative event	None reported
<b>Efficacy outcomes</b>	
• Length of sedation after last dose	No difference
• Total propofol dose required	~1 mg/kg vs 1.9 mg/kg

# Bottom Line for Phillips 2010

- High risk of bias
- Better hemodynamic stability?

# RCT 2: Nejatı 2011

<b>D</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Single-centre, “double-blind” RCT</li></ul>
<b>P</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• n = 62</li><li>• ≥18 y (median 25 y)</li><li>• ASA class I-II</li><li>• Procedure requiring sedation</li></ul>
<b>I</b>	<p><u>Both administered as single vials to desired sedation level:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Ketamine + propofol<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Median received: 1.125 mg/kg of each</li></ul></li><li>2. Midazolam + fentanyl<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Median received: M 0.04 mg/kg + F 2 mcg/kg</li></ul></li></ol>
<b>O</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Time to sedation, patient pain severity (VAS), practitioner satisfaction</li><li>2. Sedation level (Ramsay Sedation Scale), change in SpO<sub>2</sub> &amp; vital signs, AE rates</li></ol>

# Internal Validity: Nejadi 2011

Selection bias	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Sequence generation</li><li>• Allocation concealment</li></ul>	Computer-generated Anesthesiologist not involved in trial prepared study pack
Performance & detection bias	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Blinding of patient</li><li>• Blinding of clinician, data collector, &amp; outcome adjudicator</li></ul>	Identical vials, then sedated  <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Syringes covered in aluminum foil</li><li>• No attempt to blind to AEs</li></ul>
Attrition bias	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Addressed incomplete outcome data</li></ul>	No LTFU; ITT analysis
Reporting bias	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Free from selective outcome reporting?</li></ul>	?



# Results: Nejatı 2011

Outcome	Ketofol wins? (KP vs MF)
<b>Safety</b>	
• HR change from baseline	No difference
• SBP change from baseline	+4 mm Hg vs 0 mm Hg
• Proportion of patients experiencing SpO <sub>2</sub> <90%	3% vs 35%
• Proportion needing bag mask ventilation	3% vs 3%
• Proportion experiencing emergence reaction	29% vs “less”
<b>Efficacy</b>	
• Time to sedation (1°)	No difference
• Change in patient pain severity (1°)	0 vs +3
• Sedation level	Not clearly reported
<b>Physician satisfaction (1°)</b>	No difference

# Bottom Line for Nejadi 2011

- High risk of bias
- Ketofol benefit/risk uncertain
  - Less SpO<sub>2</sub> <90%
    - but no difference in bag-mask ventilation
    - Clinical significance uncertain
  - More emergence reactions
  - ?Less pain
    - Can't rule out performance bias
      - Issue with practitioner rather than sedative

# RCT 3: Andolfatto 2012

<b>S</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Lions Gate Hospital Emergency Department (single centre)</li></ul>
<b>P</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• n = 284</li><li>• ≥14 y (median 48-54 y)</li><li>• ASA class I-III (97% ASA I or II)</li><li>• Procedure requiring sedation<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ 60% orthopedic, 15-20% incision &amp; drainage, 15% cardioversion</li></ul></li></ul>
<b>I</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Single-vial ketamine/propofol 0.375 mg/kg IV (of each) initially, then 0.188 mg/kg IV (of each) q1 min PRN</li><li>2. Propofol 0.75 mg/kg IV initially, then 0.375 mg/kg IV q1 min PRN<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Both to target deep sedation (Ramsay Sedation Score [RSS] ≥5)</li></ul></li></ol>
<b>C</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• No pre-/procedural oxygenation unless O<sub>2</sub> desaturation</li><li>• No analgesia &lt;30 min prior to procedural sedation</li></ul>

# Primary Outcome: Andolfatto 2012

## ○ Respiratory event requiring intervention (as per Quebec criteria)

**Table 1.** Quebec Criteria adverse respiratory events.<sup>33</sup>

Oxygen desaturation	A decrease in oxygen saturation resulting in any of the following: vigorous tactile stimulation, airway repositioning, suctioning, supplemental oxygen delivery, any airway placement, bag-valve-mask ventilation
Central apnea	Cessation of ventilatory effort* resulting in any of the following: vigorous tactile stimulation, bag-valve-mask ventilation, any airway placement
Partial upper airway obstruction	The occurrence of stridor or snoring responding to airway repositioning, airway placement, or suctioning
Complete upper airway obstruction	Ventilatory effort with no air exchange <sup>†</sup> resulting in any of the following: airway repositioning, suctioning, bag-valve-mask ventilation, any airway placement
Laryngospasm	Partial or complete upper airway obstruction, with oxygen desaturation caused by involuntary and sustained closure of the vocal cord not relieved by airway repositioning, suctioning, or oral/nasal airway insertion
Clinically apparent pulmonary aspiration	The presence before the end of the recovery phase of new physical symptoms (cough, crackles/rales, decreased breath sounds, tachypnea, wheeze, rhonchi, respiratory distress), or requirement for supplemental oxygen to maintain baseline oxygenation, or chest radiograph findings of focal infiltrate, consolidation, or atelectasis

# Secondary Outcomes: Andolfatto 2012

## O **ADRs (requiring intervention or interfering with procedure)**

- VITALS: Hypotension, bradycardia
- CNS: Recovery agitation
- GI: Vomiting
- MSK/DERM: Muscular rigidity, rash
- Unexpected sedation-related hospitalization
- Use of reversal agents for opioids/BZDs

## **Sedation parameters**

- Induction time
- Sedation efficacy
- Sedation consistency (RSS <5 during procedure or need for repeat dosing)
- Total medication dosage
- Sedation time
- Recovery time
- Procedural sedation

**Physician, nurse & patient satisfaction (VAS 1-10, 10 = very satisfied)**

# Internal Validity: Andolfatto 2012

Selection bias	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sequence generation</li> <li>• Allocation concealment</li> </ul>	Computer-generated RN not involved in recruitment or care of study subjects
Performance & detection bias	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Blinding of patient</li> <li>• Blinding of clinician, data collector, &amp; outcome adjudicator</li> </ul>	<p>Identical vials, then sedated</p> <p>Sunglasses to blind nystagmus, nothing for muscle tone</p>
Attrition bias	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Addressed incomplete outcome data</li> </ul>	No LTFU; ITT analysis
Reporting bias	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Free from selective outcome reporting?</li> </ul>	Inconsistencies between ClinicalTrials.gov protocol, methods & reported results

# Results

Outcome	Ketofol	Propofol	NNT/NNH	RR (95% CI)
Death	0%	0%		-
Unplanned hospital admission	0%	0%		
<b>ADRs</b>				
• Respiratory events (1° outcome)	30%	32%	-	0.93 (0.66-1.32)
• Recovery agitation	7%	0%	NNH 14	
• Hypotension	0%	0.7%	-	-
• Muscular rigidity	0%	1%	-	-
• Bradycardia, vomiting, use of opioid/BZD reversal agent	Not reported			

# Results

Outcome	Ketofol	Propofol	NNT/NNH	RR (95% CI)
<b>Sedation parameters</b>				
• Consistent (RSS $\geq 5$ for procedure + no need for re-dose)	54%	35%	5	1.57 (1.20-2.06)
• Procedural agitation	3.5%	11%	14	0.33 (0.12-0.89)
• Total medication dose	0.7 mg/kg each	1.5 mg/kg		
• Induction time, efficacy, sedation time, recovery time	No difference			



# External Validity: Andolfatto 2012

Setting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Lions Gate Hospital, North Vancouver</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Local!</li></ul>
Patients	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Procedures<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ 60% orthopedic reductions</li><li>○ 20% I&amp;D</li></ul></li><li>• Comorbidities<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ 97% ASA class I-II</li></ul></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mostly very painful, moderate-duration procedures</li><li>• Relatively healthy individuals (in practice, ASA <math>\geq 3</math> typically sent to OR)</li></ul>
Interventions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Ketofol 1:1 0.375 mg/kg IV, then 0.188 mg/kg IV PRN</li><li>• Propofol 0.75 mg/kg IV, then 0.375 mg/kg IV</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Doses previously demonstrating good sedation</li><li>• Wide variation in inter-institutional practice<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ e.g. some sites use propofol 1-1.5 mg/kg, then 0.5 mg/kg</li></ul></li></ul>

# Bottom Line of Andolfatto 2012

- Moderate level of bias
- Did not show that Ketofol is safer from a respiratory perspective
- Any other Ketofol benefits/risks?
  - Possibly lower risk of procedural agitation & dipping below target sedation level
    - Can easily be influenced by clinician performance bias
  - Higher rate of recovery agitation

# Conclusion & Application

- Greater acquisition cost
- Ketofol offers no clear advantage versus propofol or other studied comparators
- Additional consideration:
  - No commercially-available “Ketofol” – risk of preparation errors
    - 2 different ketamine vial sizes (10 mg/mL, 50 mg/mL)
- Sedation regimen should be selected based on patient characteristics
  - Ketamine (alone) good option for:
    - Patient has history of substance abuse (higher/unpredictable propofol dose requirements)
    - Longer procedures, such as I&D
      - Ketamine duration of sedation: 10-15 min
      - Propofol duration of sedation: 3-5 min

**Questions?**

# Re-Cap of Major Trial Limitations

PICO

## Interventions

- Variation in doses used
- Variation in dosing strategies

## Outcomes

- Wide variation in outcome definitions
  - e.g. Different SpO<sub>2</sub> & ETCO<sub>2</sub> cutoffs
- Significant subjectivity in certain outcome definitions
  - e.g. When to perform an intervention for a respiratory event
- No consistency in results between trials

# Re-Cap of Major Trial Limitations

Internal validity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Overall inadequate description of trial design</li><li>• Blinding – questionable<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Is it even possible to blind for ketamine's distinct pharmacologic profile?</li></ul></li></ul>
Results	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Many outcomes assessed &amp; statistical tests conducted (multiplicity)</li><li>• Questionable clinical importance of statistically significant results<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Clinically important adverse outcomes (e.g. intubation, death) too infrequent to assess intervention effect</li></ul></li></ul>
External validity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Applicable<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Most emergency departments follow similar selection</li></ul></li></ul>

# Fun Youtube Videos!

- Ketamine sedation in a pediatric patient:  
[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ERb5OT\\_bvE](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ERb5OT_bvE)

# Adult Ketofol Observational Evidence

<b>D</b>	Prospective consecutive case series	
<b>P</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 728 adults receiving procedural sedation in single-centre ED</li><li>• Lions Gate Hospital (Jul 2005 to Dec 2009)</li></ul>	
<b>I</b>	Ketamine + propofol single syringe 1:1 mixture (median dose 0.7 mg/kg of each)	
<b>O</b>	<u>Successful sedation: 98%</u>  <u>Median recovery time: 14 min</u>  <u>Median staff satisfaction: 10/10</u>	<u>Recovery agitation: 3.6%</u>  <u>New hypotension: 0.1%</u>  <u>Need for bag-mask ventilation: 2.9%</u>  <u>Vomiting: 0.1%</u>



# References (1 of 4)

## Background information

1. Baker SN, Weant KA. Procedural Sedation and Analgesia in the Emergency Department. *J Pharm Pract.* 2011;24:189–95.
2. Alletag MJ, Auerbach MA, Baum CR. Ketamine, propofol, and ketofol use for pediatric sedation. *Pediatr Emerg Care.* 2012;28:1391–5–quiz1396–8.

# References (2 of 4)

## Case series

- Andolfatto G, Willman E. A Prospective Case Series of Single-syringe Ketamine-Propofol (Ketofol) for Emergency Department Procedural Sedation and Analgesia in Adults. *Academic Emergency Medicine*. 2011;18:237–45.

# References (3 of 4)

## RCTs

### Asynchronous ketamine/propofol dosing (not discussed in this seminar)

1. Messenger DW, Murray HE, Dungey PE, et al. Subdissociative-dose Ketamine versus Fentanyl for Analgesia during Propofol Procedural Sedation: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Acad Emerg Med. 2008;15:877–86.
2. David H, Shipp J. A Randomized Controlled Trial of Ketamine/Propofol Versus Propofol Alone for Emergency Department Procedural Sedation. Acad Emerg Med. 2011;57:435–41.

### Ketofol 1:1 dosing

3. Phillips W, Anderson A, Rosengreen M, et al. Propofol Versus Propofol/Ketamine for Brief Painful Procedures in the Emergency Department: Clinical and Bispectral Index Scale Comparison. J Pain Palliat Care Pharmacother. 2010;24:349–55.
4. Nejati A, Moharari RS, Ashraf H, et al. Ketamine/Propofol Versus Midazolam/Fentanyl for Procedural Sedation and Analgesia in the Emergency Department: A Randomized, Prospective, Double-Blind Trial. Academic Emergency Medicine. 2011;18:800–6.
5. Andolfatto G, Abu-Laban RB, Zed PJ, et al. Ketamine-Propofol Combination (Ketofol) Versus Propofol Alone for Emergency Department Procedural Sedation and Analgesia: A Randomized Double-Blind Trial. Ann Emerg Med. 2012;59:504–512.

# References (4 of 4)

## **Systematic reviews**

1. Loh G, Dalen D. Low-Dose Ketamine in Addition to Propofol for Procedural Sedation and Analgesia in the Emergency Department. *Annals of Pharmacotherapy*. 2007 Jan 30;41(3):485–92.
2. Slavik VC, Zed PJ. Combination ketamine and propofol for procedural sedation and analgesia. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2007 Nov;27(11):1588–98.
3. Thomas MC, Jennett-Reznek AM, Patanwala AE. Combination of ketamine and propofol versus either agent alone for procedural sedation in the emergency department. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy*. 2011 Nov 17;68(23):2248–56.
4. Sih K, Campbell SG, Tallon JM, et al. Ketamine in Adult Emergency Medicine: Controversies and Recent Advances. *Annals of Pharmacotherapy*. 2011 Dec 9;45(12):1525–34.
5. Black E, Campbell SG, Magee K, Zed PJ. Propofol for Procedural Sedation in the Emergency Department: A Qualitative Systematic Review. *Annals of Pharmacotherapy*. 2013 May 29;47(6):856–68.