

$$\begin{aligned}
 1) \quad 1! + 2! + \dots + 100! &\equiv 1! + 2! + \dots + 6! \pmod{7} && \text{since } 7 \mid k! \text{ for } k \geq 7. \\
 &\equiv 1 + 2! + \dots + 5! + (-1) \pmod{7} && \text{by Wilson's Theorem as } 7 \\
 &\equiv 2! + 3! + 4! + 5! \pmod{7} && \text{is prime.} \\
 &\equiv 2 + 6 + 3 + 1 \pmod{7} \\
 &\equiv 5 \pmod{7}.
 \end{aligned}$$

2) For $n = 1$, the statement is trivially true. ($0 \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$)
 For $n \geq 2$, we notice that $1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + (n-1)^2 = \frac{1}{6}(n-1)n(2n-1)$.
 {This can be proven directly using induction, or an adaptation of the well-known formula $1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + (n-1)^2 + n^2 = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$.
 ↑ notice the additional term

But in a 2-mark Question on a short Quiz, just state it. }

So now

$$\begin{aligned}
 &1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + (n-1)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \\
 \iff &\frac{1}{6}(n-1)n(2n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \\
 \iff &n \mid \frac{1}{6}(n-1)n(2n-1) \\
 \iff &\frac{1}{6}(n-1)(2n-1) \text{ is an integer.} \\
 \iff &6 \mid (n-1)(2n-1) \\
 \iff &2 \mid (n-1)(2n-1) \text{ and } 3 \mid (n-1)(2n-1). \text{ by the Chinese Remainder Theorem}
 \end{aligned}$$

Focusing first on $2 \mid (n-1)(2n-1)$, we see that $2 \mid (n-1)$ since $(2n-1)$ is odd.
 This means that n must be odd. (equivalently, $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$)

Now turning to $3 \mid (n-1)(2n-1)$, either $3 \mid (n-1)$ or $3 \mid (2n-1)$.
 But if you do the working, you see that this implies that $n \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{3}$

So by the Chinese Remainder Theorem,

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 n &\equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\
 n &\equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3}
 \end{aligned} \right\} n \equiv 1 \text{ or } 5 \pmod{6}$$

\therefore The statement is true for $n = 6k + 1$ and $n = 6k + 5$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$.

3) $5(7) = 35 \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$. So 7 is an inverse of 5 $\pmod{17}$.

4) Chinese Remainder Theorem

Let $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ be pairwise relatively prime.

Let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then the system of congruences:

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1}$$

$$x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2}$$

\vdots

$$x \equiv a_k \pmod{m_k}$$

has a unique solution modulo $\prod_{i=1}^k m_i = m_1 m_2 \dots m_k$.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ x \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \end{array} \right\} x \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \quad \left\{ \text{as in Question 2} \right\}$$
$$\implies x = 6k + 1 \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

5) As 19 is a prime, by Wilson's Theorem we have

$$(19-1)! = 18! \equiv -1 \pmod{19}$$

$$(16!)(17)(18) \equiv -1 \pmod{19}$$

$$\text{But } (17)(18) = 306 \equiv 2 \pmod{19}$$

$$\text{and } 2(10) = 20 \equiv 1 \pmod{19}. \text{ Thus,}$$

$$(16!)(2) \equiv -1 \pmod{19}$$

$$(16!)(2)(10) \equiv -10 \pmod{19}$$

$$(16!) \equiv -10 \equiv 9 \pmod{19}.$$

IMPORTANT NOTE: In the Euclidean Division Algorithm for integers (i.e. elementary school division), the remainder (in this case) must be an integer r such that $0 \leq r < 19$.

Thus, when the question is worded this way, the correct answer is 9, and not -10 (or any other integer in the same residue class mod 19).

6) Let $a, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geq 4$, composite
 Then n is a pseudoprime (base a) if $a^n \equiv a \pmod{n}$
 Fermat

Example: 341 (base 2)

Let $n \geq 4$ be a composite integer.

n is a Carmichael number if for every $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $\gcd(a, n) = 1$
 we have $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

Example: 561

7) $a \equiv a^p \equiv b^p \equiv b \pmod{p}$ by Fermat's Little Theorem, since pk, p^2

So $a = b + pk$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

But then $a^p = (b + pk)^p$

Binomial expansion \rightarrow
 $= b^p + \underbrace{\binom{p}{1} b^{p-1} (pk)}_{\text{divisible by } p^2} + \underbrace{\binom{p}{2} b^{p-2} (pk)^2}_{\text{divisible by } p^2} + \dots + \underbrace{\binom{p}{p-1} b (pk)^{p-1}}_{\text{divisible by } p^2} + \underbrace{\binom{p}{p} (pk)^p}_{\text{divisible by } p^2}$

$$\equiv b^p \pmod{p^2}$$