Use of resources (de jure)	Payment in cash in advance or immediately after harvesting Lease agreement required Payment defined by type and volume of NTFP to be harvested Can be canceled if conditions and requirements of contract are not met  Ilsed for harvesting of NTFP such as nistachio and walnuts fruits		Paylon a fee least reginate least re	resources made to m se agreement stered in the rayon register ment defined by ous regulations for ous types of use be cancelled onditions and uirements of contract not met		come derived from use of forest sources linked to expenses ade to maintain and improve rest area essee responsible for protection its forest plots from diseases, re and illegal use. In the cancelled if conditions and requirements of contract are of met	on volume and type of use		<ul> <li>Payment         in cash for         specific         resources and         volume</li> <li>Only limited</li> </ul>	
(de facto)	<ul> <li>Used for harvesting of NTFP, such as pistachio and walnuts, fruits, and hay, less for arable land rent</li> <li>People from remote villages sublease land plots or are used as hired labor</li> <li>Involves additional work in the forest, such as pest collection or supplying leskhoz with seeds of collected fruits and nuts</li> <li>In some areas, users serve as labor paid by leskhoz in share of harvest</li> <li>People sublease land plots</li> <li>Fruits and nuts grown on seasonal lease plots are not well protected</li> <li>Leskhoz calculate potential yields from the plot and define payment for lease based on 60% to 40% ratio with 60% staying with lessee.</li> <li>Payment often happens in kind (share of harvest). Because of immediate payment requirements, poor sell products right after harvesting, when price is lower</li> <li>Users do not pay taxes on this income</li> <li>Leasing and payment for lease only for years when NTFP yield is good</li> <li>Easiest way to obtain immediate benefits</li> <li>Security is low and does not provide secure tenure for user beyond season</li> <li>People often have no contracts</li> <li>Can be cancelled if conditions and requirements of the contract are not met or if condition of forest changes (vague definition in the FC)</li> </ul>		red but possible community of the commun	people from remote munities and pherds bring livestock of different places leasing is widespread zing and harvesting of P in the National Park hibited by the FC (FC 55) of some standard relatively lowers can use other ources on same land as without additional	res pis tra on co dit liv Ac vo co co ma ca rat an e All e Rig tra an e Tel	sed for especially profitable forest sources, such as walnuts and stachio plots, tourist attractions, ade locations near roads ally members of near-forest immunities can access because of fficulty in protecting forests if they be far away accountability mechanisms do not ork; the 3 types of compliance immissions are dysfunctional ost benefits calculated based on arket prices, but cost of labor alculated based on the low official tes. Discrepancy makes CBFM rangements unprofitable. Interviewed have contracts ght can be transferred to heir ght to part of the plot can be ansferred to other people imetimes leskhoz have made allateral decisions to subdivide and allocate plots nure conditions are strict	felling; people mostly do as they know  People often use resources without forest tickets because they lack knowledge of system  Confusion between permits for NTFP and forest tickets; people take long-term lease but provide payments based on forest tickets.		number of permits acquired in practice, mostly when export is intended since customs can request harvesting permits. In practice, people collect resources and supply them to either middlemen or companies, who then acquire permit for export.	
Access	Application to leskhoz	■ Application to leskhoz	CFM - Applicati	ion to CBFM Commission		Application to leskhoz		<ul> <li>NTFP PERMIT</li> <li>Application to 1</li> </ul>	[arritaria]	
(de jure)	<ul> <li>Seasonal use</li> <li>Sublease is prohibited</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Terms range from five to 10 years, or up to 49 years</li> <li>Sublease is prohibited</li> <li>For cultivation, need to use local varieties</li> <li>For grazing, need to submit veterinary certificate on livestock number and health</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Only local</li> <li>Preference</li> <li>experience</li> <li>users</li> <li>Applicant human reference</li> <li>First least terms medical</li> <li>Subleasing</li> <li>Area for the higger the forests, 2 had in rides</li> <li>Applicant specific period</li> </ul>	references for people with operience in forestry, previous sers oplicant should have sufficient uman resources, land, and assets rest lease is for five years and if all arms met, extension for 50 years ubleasing is allowed rea for CBFM limited in size: not rests, 20 ha in mixed forests and ha in riverside forests. oplicant should submit request for pecific plot.		Seasonal only		Department of Environment and Forest Ecosystem Development or to SAEPF for harvesting specific resources in specific number and volume Seasonal only		
Issues in access (de facto)	<ul> <li>Where resources are scarce and competition intense, access is limited, especially for new users</li> <li>Preference informally given to previous users</li> <li>Perceived easier access to wealthier people, who bribe leskhoz staff, and/or those who are connected to leskhoz</li> <li>People do not have information on resources/land plot availability for seasonal lease</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Where resources are scarce access is limited</li> <li>All good land already allocated</li> <li>No accurate information about available lands for lease</li> <li>Perceived easier access to wealthier people, who bribe leskhoz staff, and/or those who are connected to leskhoz</li> <li>People often sublease land; sublessees pay more</li> <li>No information on existing leases</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>access to Lack of key plots</li> <li>No incention who lack and/or as and/or as</li> <li>Demands</li> </ul>	access to forest plots for households Lack of knowledge about available plots No incentives to form a group Inhibits access for women and poor, who lack knowledge, resources, and/or assets Demands a lot of labor to meet terms and conditions  Plot  Inhibits access for women and poor, who lack knowledge, resources, and/or assets  Inhibits access for women and poor, who lack knowledge, resources, and for assets Inhibits access for women and poor, and for assets In		<ul> <li>Access to collection is difficular in National Parks a park rangemust take pictures, make a mand send it to SAEPF for judge on what trees can be used for give forest officials the author distribute tickets for use. Procan take up to six months, resonce-valuable timber source</li> <li>People wait for one to two years be able to buy timber or get for tickets</li> <li>No information on availability timber, fueling conflicts and to illegal felling</li> </ul>	Access to collection is difficult, e.g., in National Parks a park ranger must take pictures, make a report, and send it to SAEPF for judgment on what trees can be used for, then give forest officials the authority to distribute tickets for use. Process can take up to six months, rendering once-valuable timber source useless. People wait for one to two years to be able to buy timber or get forest tickets No information on availability of timber, fueling conflicts and leading to illegal felling Fuel wood collection happens mostly		<ul> <li>Wide misunderstanding and confusion on access to these resources even among forestry officials. Some foresters interpret legislation that all permits for NTFP are issued by the <i>leskhoz</i>, except those which are to be exported</li> <li>Local communities do not know where and how to obtain permits, they also do not know when and if a permit is required, so they hunt and harvest medicinal plants and berries in commercial quantities without permits</li> <li>For many villagers, going to the oblast centers for permits is unaffordable</li> </ul>	
	SEASONAL LEA			CFM		FOREST TICKE		NTFP PER		
Major issues	<ul> <li>Users uninterested in sustainable harvesting methods and use of resources because of limited time span and insecurity of arrangements</li> <li>Leskhoz not interested because revenue is unsustainable</li> <li>Does not support sustainable forest management</li> <li>Negatively impare ecosystems, i.e. cultivation of cring the forest are deteriorates soi leads to loss of biodiversity, and brings diseases forest ecosystem</li> <li>Fuels conflicts between communimembers and leskhoz with competition for grazing land leads to illegal</li> <li>Users have no incentives to preserve forest ecosystem and use its resource sustainably</li> </ul>		i.e., crops areas soil, of and ses to tems s munity d	practiced leads to fragmentation of forest ecosystem and loss of biodiversity  Users not restricted in types of use and often use forest land as arable land leading to loss of biodiversity		there are no leavenues; ofter cut healthy ar valuable tree species People collect NTFP without of sustainable methods and deplete resource.	there are no legal avenues; often cut healthy and valuable tree species  People collect NTFP without use of sustainable methods and often deplete resources		<ul> <li>Resources harvested illegally without payment. SAEPF loses significant source of revenue</li> <li>No incentives to use sustainable methods of harvesting; no control over user activities. Leskhoz does not receive any revenue from this type of use and thus does not monitor, leading to deterioration and depletion of forest resources</li> </ul>	