



Protocol Review Checklist

Study #

PI Name:

Study Nickname:

Primary Reviewer

Secondary Reviewer

Minimal Risk Reviewer

A. REBA Comments:

I agree with the REBA comments with the following exceptions / qualifications / additions

None:

As follows:

B. Study Summary: (Primary and Minimal Risk Reviewers Only)

Reviewer Introductory Notes or Comments

C. Conflict of Interest of the Researcher or his team

No Applicable COI Statements or

Comments: *(based upon information contained in Page 3, or otherwise)*

D. Qualifications of the Researcher and his team

No concerns

No knowledge of the PI's qualifications or

Comments:

Scientific Considerations

1. **Background, Rationale, Objectives** (*clearly stated, objectives articulated, suitable justification, conduct of the study and achievement of outcomes are feasible*)
 Satisfactory* Not satisfactory or clarification required
2. **Social and Scientific Merit** (*research is important, significant, likely to generate useful information*)
 Satisfactory Not satisfactory or clarification required
3. **Research Design and Methodology** (*methodology and data analysis adequately described and consistent with sound design, adequate peer review, sample size justified, procedures appropriate and don't expose participants to unnecessary risk, inclusion / exclusion criteria appropriate, equipoise is present, use of placebo is justified, over-all study design doesn't expose participants to unnecessary risk*)
 Satisfactory Not satisfactory or clarification required

Comments/Other Issues:

Ethical Considerations

4. **Population** (*selection criteria equitable and appropriate, justification for use of vulnerable populations, special or additional safeguards if appropriate*)
 Satisfactory Not satisfactory or clarification required
5. **Recruitment** (*no coercion, undue influence, access to participants and /or their records authorized and appropriate, confidentiality respected, script appended, compensation appropriate*)
 Satisfactory Not satisfactory or clarification required
6. **Risk / Benefit** (*risks reasonable to benefits, adequately assessed, not over or understated, procedures necessary and reasonable, risks minimized*)
 Satisfactory Not satisfactory or clarification required
7. **Privacy** (*Adequate provisions made to respect privacy of participants and maintain confidentiality of data*)
 Satisfactory Not satisfactory or clarification required

Comments:

Safety Considerations

8. **Adequate provisions for monitoring** (*appropriate for study, describes who will monitor, when, plan for communication, termination or stopping as appropriate*)
 Satisfactory Not satisfactory or clarification required
9. **Appropriate assessment of level of risk** (*PI has appropriately assessed impact of study on participants*)
 Yes No or clarification required
10. **Controversial research or higher level/ more frequent monitoring and verification required**
 No Yes or clarification required

Comments:

Informed Consent/Assent Considerations (please refer to the Informed Consent /Assent Checklist-Template)

11. **Language:** (If there is language that should be changed, omitted or added, note below, indicating page, paragraph and section heading (where applicable))
 Satisfactory Changes Required
12. **Waiver:** (Any requested waiver or alteration to informed consent is properly justified)
 Satisfactory Not satisfactory or clarification required
13. **Competence:** (Are participants competent, issues concerning competency adequately addressed)
 Satisfactory Not satisfactory or clarification required

Comments:

Additional Comments (including concerns with other documents, your suggested recommendation: approval, provisos, deferral if appropriate)

**Satisfactory includes not applicable by implication, i.e. if the issue is not applicable, and is not dealt with in the application, it has been satisfactorily addressed.*